



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,295	04/27/2004	David Armes	03292.101980.	3294
66569 7590 10/27/2009 FITZPATRICK CELLA (AMEX) 1290 Avenue of the Americas NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			EXAMINER LY, CHEYNE D	
			ART UNIT 2168	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/27/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/709,295	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARMES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHEYNE D. LY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2168	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                     | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The cancellation of claim 13 has been entered.
2. Claims 1-12 and 14-26 are examined on the merits.
3. Applicant's arguments filed September 11, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 9-11, Applicant argues Chu fails to teach all of the features of the centralized file management hub because Chu does not disclose said centralized file management hub includes a file tracking and monitoring component configured to provide end-to-end tracking of a file and role based multi layered tracking information of a file. Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Chu discloses "software resident on said server tracks said file transfer" (Claim 32). As for the limitation of "messaging infrastructure component not providing an entry point into said system," the instant specification does not explicitly disclose said limitation, however, Figure 1 exemplifies the Message Infrastructure and Centralized File Management Hub having bidirectional communication via the internet. Chu discloses the DAD database and Queues having bidirectional communications with the client(s) via a server (Figure 5, page 2, [0049], e.g. instructions are sent to the DAD server via a web page, and page 6, [0092], e.g. the program will communicate with the queue manager (909) to add the item to the defined queue, i.e. sender notification queue, recipient notification queue, or server-to-server transfer queue). Chu reasonably describes the argued limitations as exemplified by the instant specification.

### **CLAIM REJECTIONS - 35 USC § 103**

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2168

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-12 and 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chu et al. (2002/0049853 A1) (Chu hereafter) taken with Konda et al. (US 2003/0041095 A1) (Konda hereafter).

7. In regard to claim 1, Chu discloses a system to facilitate movement of electronic files comprising:

8. A messaging infrastructure component configured to facilitate workflow management of files through said system, and said messaging infrastructure component not providing an entry point into said system (page 1, [0011], especially, "issuing first instructions...", and Claim 32, e.g. software resident on said server tracks said file transfer);

9. A file transfer services component configured to at least one of transmit and receive a file request, said file transfer services providing an entry point into said system to a user (page 1,

Art Unit: 2168

[0009], especially, “issuing instructions from the first client to a digital asset distribution (DAD) server for transferring a file...from the DAD server...”);

10. a centralized file management hub configured to communicate with said file transfer services component and said file transformation component through said messaging infrastructure component (page 1, [0014], especially, “a digital asset distribution (DAD) server...”), and said centralized file management component not providing an entry point into said system (Figure 5, page 2, [0049], e.g. instructions are sent to the DAD server via a web page, and page 6, [0092], e.g. the program will communicate with the queue manager (909) to add the item to the defined queue, i.e. sender notification queue, recipient notification queue, or server-to-server transfer queue).

11. However, Chu does not explicitly describe the limitation of “a file transformation component configured to use enterprise logic to enrich file content and convert a file type to another file type, said file transformation component not providing an entry point into said system.” Konda describes a file transformation component configured to use enterprise logic to enrich file content and convert a file type to another file type, said file transformation component not providing an entry point into said system (page 4, [0064], e.g. WordPerfect...PDF, page 5, [0078], e.g. ETS instance provides file transformation services, and Figure 1). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated by Konda to improve the methods and systems for transforming data in heterogeneous computer systems (page 2, [0015]) to overcome costly, tedious and time-consuming task of transforming documents (page 2, [0016]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the system of Chu with the task of

Art Unit: 2168

transforming documents of Konda to to improve the methods and systems for transforming data in heterogeneous computer systems (page 2, [0015]) to overcome costly, tedious and time-consuming task of transforming documents.

12. In regard to claim 2, Chu in view of Konda discloses said centralized file management hub includes:

A parameter and configuration management component configured to provide a gateway to at least one of store and retrieve configuration information (page 4, [0061], especially, "...server site URL provides the universal uniqueness to the PID...assist the server-to-server transfer...download records may be added into the database"; and,

A file routing and workflow component configured to route a file through a network and provide routing information to file transfer nodes to facilitate at least one of routing and scheduling of a file transfer (page 4, [0062]-[0063], especially, "address book...Sender File Names (24) and Location (25)...").

13. In regard to claim 3, Chu view of Konda discloses the centralized file management hub further includes a parameter and configuration management component, wherein said parameter and configuration management component includes...file transfer routing information....(page 4, [0062]-[0063], especially, "address book...Sender File Names (24) and Location (25)...").

14. In regard to claim 4, Chu view of Konda discloses display tracking information (page 4, [0064], especially, "interface...Tracking (TRACE)").

15. In regard to claim 5, Chu in view of Konda discloses a manager interface configured to at least one of receive configuration information and display tracking information (page 4,

Art Unit: 2168

[0064], especially, “interface...Tracking (TRACE)”), wherein said configuration information includes at least one of client authentication....(page 3, [0051], especially, “sender input a unique username and password...”)...and wherein said tracking information includes a workflow task outcome (page 4, [0064] to page 6, [0084]), Figure 3 in its entirety exemplifies a workflow task outcome).

16. In regard to claim 6, Chu view of Konda discloses the file transfer services component includes:

17. One file transfer agent communicating with said messaging infrastructure (Figure 5 wherein the server components have been interpreted as “agents” as defined by the Microsoft dictionary);

18. A file transfer application server...(Figure 5, especially, “Server” and “Remote Server”);

19. User interface...(page 4, [0064]).

20. In regard to claims 7 and 8, Chu view of Konda discloses a user interface...(page 4, [0064]-[0065], especially, “Client Browser screen components...send client software embedded in HTML...”).

21. In regard to claims 9 and 10, Chu view of Konda discloses a file transformation component is further configured to apply a transformation map to said file (page 7, [0097], especially, “sender has the option to cancel a lengthy upload and resume upload at a later time...the program will resume uploading portion of the file and so indicate in the restart offset...” and page 8, [0114], especially, “support partial file transfer...receive command requesting...”). The disclosure cited above is consistent the exemplary description of “a transformation map” in the instant specification (paragraph [0025]).

Art Unit: 2168

22. In regard to claim 11, Chu view of Konda discloses one file transfer agent is further configured to notify said central file management hub of workflow events (page 7, [0103], especially, “delivery of the download notification to the primary DAD server”).

23. In regard to claims 12, and 14-26, Chu in view of Konda discloses a method (claim 1) implemented in the above-cited system. Further, Chu discloses a validation step (page 8, [0106]-[0107], especially, “If valid data is read...”). Specific to claims 22 and 23, the limitation of “business rules...” has been interpreted reasonably broad because the instant specification does not explicitly define said limitation. The invention described by Chu is directed to end-to-end secure file transfer method and system as directed to “reports, articles, advertisements,...spreadsheets (page 1, [0005]). The cited method and system have been interpreted as being directed to business application; therefore, would achieve the same expected resulted as the claimed method in regard to the limitation of “business rules.”

### **CONCLUSION**

24. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance. Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business



Art Unit: 2168

Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

25. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The USPTO's official fax number is 571-272-8300.

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

27. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo, can be reached on (571) 272-3642.

/Cheyne D Ly/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168